## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC.

v.

Plaintiff,

No. 02-CV-2733 (HB)

POWERWEB TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES DIRECTED TO POWERWEB TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e)(2), Powerweb Technologies, Inc. ("Powerweb") hereby supplements its responses to the following interrogatories contained in Constellation NewEnergy's Third Set of Interrogatories:

33. Identify the person most knowledgeable with respect to your claim for \$100 million in damages.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE: Subject to and without waiving any objections previously made, Powerweb responds that the determination of the person most knowledgeable with respect to its claim for damages is subject to differing interpretations, but that Lothar E.S. Budike Jr., Dr. Peter Fox-Penner, and Constantino Gus Pappas are knowledgeable on that subject.

34. State each separate category of damages you claim and the amount of damages for each category.

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:** Subject to and without waiving any objections previously made, Powerweb directs NewEnergy to the reports of The Brattle Group, dated April 2004, and Constantino Gus Pappas, dated March 31, 2004. Powerweb further responds that the

reports set forth the categories and amount of damages, with the exception of punitive damages and attorneys fees, asserted by Powerweb pursuant to all theories of liability asserted in the Amended Counterclaims, including causes of action sounding in tort.

Identify each document that refer [sic] or relate [sic] to your claim for damages. 35.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE: Subject to and without waiving any objections previously made, Powerweb directs NewEnergy to the reports of The Brattle Group, dated April 2004, and Constantino Gus Pappas, dated March 31, 2004, and the documents cited therein. The further identification of each and every document that may refer or relate to Powerweb's claim for damages is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

Identify all expert witnesses whom you intend to call on your behalf at trial. 37.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE: Subject to and without waiving any objections previously made, Powerweb identifies Dr. Peter Fox-Penner of the Brattle Group and Constantino Gus Pappas. Additionally, Powerweb identifies Lothar E.S. Budike, Jr., Andrew Bakey, Joseph Bonner, and Lothar E.S. Budike Sr. to the extent that their testimony may include opinions or inferences based upon scientific, technical or other specialized knowledge.

Rudolph Garcia

Kara H. Goodchild

Kristin L. Calabrese

SAUL EWING LLP

Centre Square West

1500 Market Street, 38th Floor

ara H. Hoodchild

Philadelphia, PA 19102-2186

(215) 972-7187

Dated: May 3, 2004

Case 2:02-cv-02733-HB Document 137-2 Filed 08/06/2004 Page 3 of 9

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC.

v.

Plaintiff,

No. 02-CV-2733 (HB)

POWERWEB TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Kara H. Goodchild, hereby certify that the foregoing Supplemental Response to Constellation NewEnergy's Third Set of Interrogatories was served today via hand delivery, upon the following counsel of record:

Zachary Glaser, Esquire Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen, LLP 1650 Arch St., 22nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Cara H. Goodchild

Date: May 3, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CONSTELLATION CIVIL ACTION NEWENERGY, INC.

**V** . POWERWEB TECHNOLOGIES, : INC, A-VALEY ENGINEERS,:

INC., and LOTHAR E.S.

BUDIKE, JR. NO. REPLACE

> OCTOBER 22,2003 CONFIDENTIAL

Oral deposition of LOTHAR E.S. BUDIKE, JR, held in the offices of Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, commencing at 10:00 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda Dee Maslynsky-Miller, a Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

> ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES 1880 John F. Kennedy Boulevard 15th Floor

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (215) 988-9191

21

22

23

```
10
 1
            after having been duly sworn,
 2
            examined and testified as
                                         follows:
 3
 4
                     EXAMINATION
 5
 6
     BY MR. LANDAU:
 7
            Ο.
                   Good morning, Mr. Budike.
 8
            Α.
                   Good morning.
 9
                   My name is David Landau and
            Q .
10
     I represent Constellation NewEnergy, the
11
     plaintiff in this matter. We're here
12
     today to take your deposition. Have you
13
     ever been deposed before?
14
            Α.
                   Yes.
15
                   On how many occasions?
            Q.
16
            Α.
                   Once.
17
            Q.
                   Was that personal or
18
     business related?
19
                 Personal.
            Α.
20
                   Let me talk about a
            Q.
                                         few
21
     ground rules of a deposition just so
22
     we're on the same page.
23
                   I'm going to be asking you a
     series of questions. If at any time you
24
```

(It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and among counsel, that filing and certification are waived; and that all objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved until time of trial.)

ANDREW BAKEY, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8 BY MR. GLASER:

- 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Bakey. State your full name 10 for the record.
- 11 A. Andrew Martin Bakey.
- 12 Q. Have you ever been deposed, Mr. Bakey?
- 13 A. No, I have not.
- 14 Q. Well, let me try to explain the ground rules.

I'm going to ask you questions. You're going to give answers to the best of your ability. It will be helpful, it is being transcribed, if you let me finish my questions and I will do my very best to let you finish your answers.

Because it's being transcribed, you have to give verbal answers, a nod of your head, uh-huh won't come up on the transcript and it will be useless, so I may remind you from time to time.

Over the course of the deposition -- just stop

- MR. NASTASI: Objection.
- 2 BY MR. GLASER:
- Q. Did you testify that you gave PowerWeb the
- 4 | right to use your document in the fall of '99?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When did your relationship with PowerWeb
- 7 | start?
- MR. NASTASI: Objection. Vague.
- 9 Q. When did you meet Lou Budike?
- 10 A. In the spring of '99.
- 11 Q. Do you remember specifically?
- 12 A. Not a date.
- 13 Q. Were you ever hired by PowerWeb?
- 14 A. Both as a consultant and then later as an
- 15 employee.
- 16 Q. So the answer to my question is yes?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. When were you first hired by PowerWeb?
- 19 A. As a consultant or employee?
- 20 Q. Any capacity whatsoever.
- 21 A. It was the fall of 1999.
- 22 Q. Do you remember specifically?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. So it's just as likely it was December 20th as